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ABSTRACT

The proposed paper is a case study of the National Interreligious Task Force on Soviet Jewry (Task Force) established in 1971 by the National Catholic Conference for Interracial Justice (NCCIJ) and the American Jewish Committee. It sought Christian support for the effort to end the cultural and religious persecution of Jews in the Soviet Union. Sister Ann Gillen directed the Task Force from 1971 through 1988.

In advocating for Soviet Jews Task Force leaders became aware of Christians (Catholics, Adventists, Baptists) being persecuted in the Soviet Union. The Task Force took up their struggle for religious freedom. This conflicted with the position held by mainstream American Jewish advocacy groups who followed the Israeli policy of focusing exclusively on Soviet Jews. Israel’s Liaison Bureau tried not to be anti-Soviet. They demanded that the Soviet Union give its Jews their religious and cultural rights or allow them to emigrate. In contrast, persecuted Christians often overlapping with ‘captive nation’ groups favored regime change.

The paper analyzes the response of the AJC, the major American Jewish advocacy groups and the Israelis to the Task Force taking up the cause of persecuted Christians. Eventually, many in the advocacy movement supported the Task Force’s wider concerns with Christians as well as Jews. A major factor influencing many parties was the Helsinki Final Accords (1975) which put the issue of human rights on the agenda of East-West relations.

The research is based on archival research and interviews with several of the key actors. Secondary sources including newspapers and scholarly articles are also used.

INTRODUCTION

This paper is a case study of the National Interreligious Task Force on Soviet Jewry (Task Force) established in 1972 by the National Catholic Conference for Interracial Justice (NCCIJ) and the American Jewish Committee.1 It mobilized Christian Americans to support the advocacy for Soviet Jews. The Task Force lobbied Congress and the administration to pressure the Soviet Union to allow emigration of Soviet Jews and freedom of religion and culture to those that remained.

While their primary concern was Soviet Jewry, Task Force leaders urged American Christians to protest the discrimination against their co-religionists (Catholics, Adventists, Baptists) in the Soviet Union. This conflicted with the position held by some mainstream American Jewish advocacy groups who followed the Israeli policy of focusing exclusively on
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Soviet Jews.

The paper analyzes the response of Task Force activists, the AJC, the major American Jewish advocacy groups and the Israelis to the Task Force taking up the cause of persecuted Christians. The focus is on the early years of the Task Force prior to the passage of the Helsinki Final Accords in August 1975.

The research is based on archival research and interviews with several of the key actors. Secondary sources including newspapers and scholarly articles were also used.

BACKGROUND
Following WWII, four factors contributed to the establishment of the Task Force in 1972. First, Vatican II created a revolution in Catholic Jewish relations. In 1960 the Pope John XXIII summoned the Ecumenical Council to help bring the Church into the modern world.

The Council approved the “Declaration on the Jews” Nostra Aetate, No. 4 on October 28, 1965, by a vote of 2,221 in favor and 188 opposed. It acknowledges Jewish roots of the church, repudiated the age-old idea that all Jews were eternally cursed for the crime of having killed Jesus, ‘Stated that hatred of Jews should have no place in Church teachings and called for fraternal Christian-Jewish dialogue” (Sanua 2007:124). This opened a “new chapter (in Catholic-Jewish relations. This was “a genuine revolution” 6 It had a significant effect on the leaders of the American Catholic Church who had worked hard to enact Nostra Aetate. On return to the US, they encouraged extensive interaction and dialogues with American Jews. It would motivate some religious Catholics including priests and nuns to join the American struggle for Soviet Jewry.

Second, the revolution among American sisters’ religious led many sisters to leave their enclosures and isolated communities in search of more socially meaningful apostolates in the broader American society. Under the influence of Vatican II and a greater awareness of the failure of Church during the Holocaust, some sisters pursued apostolates dealing with Jews and the Jewish community. Sisters Ann Gillen and Margaret Traxler, for example, took up the cause of Soviet Jewry.

Third, AJC began advocating for the three million Jews of the Soviet Union; they opposed a presumed Soviet policy of cultural genocide. They urged their government to intervene. In general, the AJC followed the lead of the Israeli government’s Liaison Bureau, established in the early 1950s to coordinate efforts to bring the Jews of the Soviet Union to Israel (Lazin 2005).
Finally, during and after World War II, AJC worked to further interfaith relations between Jews and Christians in the US and overseas. AJC’s, Interreligious Affairs Department (IAD) conducted outreach to Catholic, Protestant, Orthodox and Evangelical Christians. A major project involved reviewing religious school textbooks for anti-Semitic content. AJC’s IAD played a major behind the scenes role in Vatican II’s deliberations and decisions on the Jews.

**SETTING UP THE TASK FORCE**

In 1971, a member of the staff of AJC’s Chicago office read about the religious persecution of Soviet Jewry in an NCCIJ newsletter. This led to AJC inviting Sister Margaret Traxler, head of the NCCIJ, to lunch which resulted in negotiations about an interreligious advocacy group for Soviet Jewry. The Chicago AJC office had also discussed the idea with Andre LaCocque, a professor of theology at Chicago Theological Seminary (CTS).

AJC and NCCIJ sponsored a one-day conference for an advisory panel on Soviet Jewry with 15-20 leading Catholic and Protestant theologians at CTS on October 13, 1971. The co-conveners were Sister Margaret Traxler, Rabbi Marc Tanenbaum (IAD/AJC) and Andre LaCocque. The conference focused on Jews and other religious groups in the Soviet Union. AJC hoped that this would generate a larger and more far-reaching Christian programmatic effort on behalf of Soviet Jews. They envisioned a national Christian consultation and an ongoing functioning organization. At the end, all present “unanimously agreed to form an Interreligious Task Force on Soviet Jewry with Traxler and LaCocque as co-chairs and Graubart of AJC as Secretary.” They decided to hold a Consultation in March 1972.

In a January 1972 memo, AJC described the proposed Task Force as a national organization through which concerned Christians may act on behalf of the Soviet Jewish community. It would develop and implement interreligious programs at local and national levels and would be involved in consultations, publications, new monthly periodical, high-level interreligious missions to USSR and hold local level activities at churches and universities. It emphasized AJC’s continuing efforts to “mobilize non-Jewish public opinion to bring effective pressures on the Soviet Union to change” policies that deprive Jews of the right to “their own cultural and religious life and violating their human right of freedom to emigrate.”

The memo also mentioned a group within the Task Force consisting of about 20 prominent Christian theologians. Sponsors of the Consultation may have intended this group to become a
permanent institution which would set policy for the Task Force.

An AJC news release in February announced that the planned Consultation would “help obtain full human rights for Jews and other deprived groups in the Soviet Union.” 16

THE CONSULTATION
The Consultation was held at the Center for Continuing Education at the University of Chicago on March 19 and 20, 1972. Rita Hauser, US Representative to the UN Human Rights Commission, gave the first keynote. She declared that the administration would continue “pressing the Soviet government to let emigrate all those Jews who seek to leave… (and by) resisting every attempt on the part of the Soviet’s to argue that the treatment of Soviet Jews is of concern only to the Soviets.” 17

In the second keynote, Charles Evers, mayor of Fayette MI and older brother of slain civil rights activist Medgar Evers urged President Nixon to tell the Soviets on his upcoming visit to “let the Jewish people go.” He added “I am proud to be a part of ending this oppression. I am also here because as one who remembers the Jewish participation in the Mississippi civil rights struggle, I do not forget those who helped me.”18In the final keynote, Mr. Shlomo Shoham, a Soviet Jewish émigré emphasized the importance for Christian voices to speak out in favor of Soviet Jews.19

At lunch on the 20th US Representative, Jesuit priest and law professor Robert Drinan urged President Nixon to champion the cause of Soviet Jewry. He charged that the Soviet government “….during the years 1939 to 1967 carried out the destruction of Jewish cultural life.” He argued that any large-scale future emigration “depends upon the continuing pressure of world opinion on Moscow as well as the quality of the absorption and adjustment of Russian immigrants in Israel.” He claimed that pressure on US and Soviet officials led to an easing of visa regulations in Russia so that in 1972 some 40,000 Russian Jews will arrive in Israel.20

Drinan noted that Christians in Europe and US had not provided enough assistance in past generations “hardly an encouraging demonstration of the solidarity which should exist between all of those who worship the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob.” He closed “I have the hope that American Christians will be aroused at the injustices being inflicted on persons of the Jewish faith in Russia. I hope also that Christians in America will as never before recognize and act upon the acute and profound problems which confront Israel because by its very nature it is an ongoing ingathering of exiles and refugees”. He quoted Reinhold Niebuhr “Who told us that no one can be a good Christian until first he is a good Jew.”
The high point of the Consultation was the Interreligious Assembly in the auditorium of Holy Name Cathedral. Bishop Sheen asked: “May the God of love inspire our President to plead for all persecuted people in Russia even as we raise our voices against the persecution of the Russian Jews and other religious groups.” Rabbi Marc Tanenbaum demanded that Nixon put the issue of Soviet Jews on his agenda for discussions with the Soviets. Dr. W. L. Wilson said it was fitting for “a member of America’s largest minority” to voice concern for the plight of Jews in the Soviet Union.” Dr. Cynthia C. Wedel stated that Protestants should have a special feeling for the subject of the persecution of Soviet Jews. “We must remember our Jewish forefathers. The Lord we serve lived and died a Jew. We can never see a Jew suffer without saying ‘this is our brother.’”

At the end, the 700 persons in attendance rose and accepted the “Statement of Conscience”). It called on “conscience of mankind to make known its profound concern about the continued denial of the free exercise of religion, the violation of the right to emigrate, and other human rights of the three million Jewish people of the Soviet Union and other deprived groups and nationalities.” It argued that discrimination against Jews of the Soviet Union give all of us reason to believe that “under the pretext of being anti-Zionist, it is the very contribution of the Jews to humanity which is under attack. the Jewish testimony in the world that man’s identity and freedom are not granted primarily by any state or constitution but are found in the nature of man himself. That is why each human being is threatened in his fundamental right to freedom of conscience when the Jews are persecuted.”

The document urged the Soviets to grant religious rights to Russian Jewry to establish religious educational and cultural institutions, to lift the ban on publishing religious books and the Bible and religious articles and for the right to train rabbis and teachers and to establish ties with overseas Jewish groups. “Let them live as Jews or let them leave to be Jews.”

They protested the continued imprisonment of Jewish and non-Jewish prisoners of conscience and urged their release. The statement pledged to continue the struggle for freedom of Soviet Jews, “all Christians and intellectuals... Our national interreligious consultation of Protestants, Roman Catholics, Eastern Orthodox, and Jews... appeal to President Nixon to convey in “clear and forthright terms to Soviet authorities” when in Moscow that American people “Christians and Jews, black and white, liberal and conservative—that these discriminations and denials of Soviet Jewry and others be stopped now and that fundamental human rights be granted—now.”
The Statement of Conscience of the interreligious consultation focused on the plight of Soviet Jewry. Nevertheless, it referred time and again to the plight of other persecuted religious groups in the Soviet Union.26

SISTER ANN GILLEN
Sister Ann Gillen led a workshop at the Consultation. She also met with Rabbi Marc Tanenbaum of IAD about the position of Task Force director. 27

She was born in Texas and attended Catholic parochial schools.28 She studied at Rosemont College near Philadelphia which was operated by the Sisters of the Holy Child Jesus (SHCJ). She took her vows with SHCC. She did graduate work at Dropsie College in comparative religion, Jewish history, and biblical literature.29 She became very aware of the unhappy historical relations of Christians and Jews. She decided that her future apostolate work would entail a broad type of education with special emphasis on Jewish Christian concerns.

She worked first in educational institutions of SHCJ. Later, in Houston Texas she established ‘Project Awareness' in cooperation with the Anti-Defamation League (ADL), National Conference of Christians and Jews (NCCJ) and Catholic Commission on Ecumenism and Interreligious Affairs. She also participated in an ecumenical committee which surveyed how Christian religious educational texts dealt with Jews and Judaism. She ran a project with a group of Christians concerned about Israel and Soviet Jewry. Following a summer seminar series at St. Thomas University she worked to bridge knowledge gap in Jewish Christian dialogue.30

In May 1972, she commented on how during the Holocaust and Six Days War “many Christians have indeed been silent and inactive, if not actually opposed to the concerns of Jews and Judaism.” In recent years, she argued the two communities have come closer together “… to collaborate in areas of common concern.” Some admit “that the concern of one community is their concern; what hurts or threatens the Jew also hurts and threatens the Christian. … “We recognize our common brotherhood and sisterhood, our common humanity.”31 She closed, “I intend to dedicate my efforts “to this goal that by the year 2000 there will be an end to the vicious thing called anti-Semitism. I believe that we are called to express the truth together and that in this truth and in our collaboration to know and share the truth, we will together redeem the times.”32

AJC hired Ann as the full-time director of the NITFSJ by early October.33 She arrived in Chicago on October 19 and began working in an office located within the offices of the NCCIJ.34
She referred to her office as the Secretariat for Soviet Jewry. The same day Sister Margaret Traxler and Prof. Andre LaCocque introduced Ann Gillen at a press conference in Chicago.

As originally envisioned the Task Force was to have an interfaith group of twenty theologians to deal with the issues affecting Soviet Jewry. Although names appeared on a document, the group never functioned. At best, a few theologians most notably Father John Pawlikowski and Andre LaCocque were active in Task Force activities.

A similar fate happened to the honorary sponsors and the honorary chair of the Task Force. The honorary sponsors included well-known persons from government, civil rights, the arts, and sports. In the early years, some attended formal events, but most were inactive. The chairman of the advisory board Sargent Shriver served in name only. He frequently could not attend major Task Force events. His sending a message was considered an important achievement. However, the use of his name and the names of members of sponsors helped the organization gain publicity and influence. Other members of the Task Force, notably Father John Pawlikowski, Prof. Andre LaCocque, Prof Tom Bird and Rev John Steinbruck remained active. They sometimes spoke for the Task Force, participated in Task Force conferences and events and worked in their own institutions to further the goals of the Task Force. By the early 1980s if not before the Task Force had become an organization of one person Sister Ann Gillen.

As director Ann spoke at temples, churches, parochial schools, Jewish Community Center’s, Community Relations Councils forums and on campus from coast to coast during the first decade and through the early 1980s. She appeared at various secular, religious and political seminars and conferences. She lobbied Congress, the State Department and the White House, spoke at countless demonstrations in major cities, appeared on TV and radio and gave hundreds of newspaper interviews. She worked to establish local task forces in cities around the country. She recruited lawyers and organized the Interreligious Task Force on Human Rights in the Soviet Union which prepared a brief in support of Andre Sakharov. She initiated programs that brought together Jews and Christians, churches and synagogues to co-adopt children of refuseniks, refuseniks, Christian and Jewish prisoners of conscience. She travelled to the Soviet Union where she met with refuseniks and dissidents. Her presence inspired many activists including Ida Nudel. Many of her activities in the US and abroad received wide media coverage. A nun fighting for Soviet Jewry attracted attention. Several Congressmen took notice of the sister demanding action
for her Soviet Jewish brothers and sisters. Her efforts and those of the Task Force mobilized Christians and helped to create a public perception that Christians too were concerned about Soviet Jews.

Despite her continued dependence on the AJC for finances and the need to clear many policy and program decisions with the IAD, Ann became director of an autonomous organization. Her freedom increased in time as she learned to navigate among the many organizations of the Soviet Jewry Advocacy movement and American Jewish community. As Task Force director, she worked well with the National Conference on Soviet Jewry (NCSJ) and with the Union of Councils, and she made close friends and supporters within AJC. She cultivated ties with members of Congress, the State Department and with religious leaders. She became a valuable resource for all concerned with Soviet Jewry.

**CONFLICT WITH MAINSTREAM JEWISH ADVOCACY GROUPS FOR SOVIET JEWRY**

Importantly, from the founding Consultation in March 1972, Task Force leaders expressed concern about persecuted Christians in the Soviet Union. While Soviet Jewry remained the central concern of the Task Force it continued to take up the plight of persecuted Christians in the Soviet Union. In doing so, the Taskforce focused on religious persecution; It avoided cooperation with ‘captive nations’ groups who opposed Soviet (Russian) occupation of their homelands.

The interest of Ann and the Taskforce in persecuted Christians met opposition from some mainstream Jewish advocacy groups for Soviet Jewry. For example, when the Interreligious Council for Soviet Jewry in Louisville requested that Jewish organizations "help a Catholic sister gain her freedom from behind the Iron Curtain" Jerry Goodman of NCSJ objected. He argued that based on human compassion individuals and Jewish organizations should do whatever they can to help the Sister but "I question the wisdom of a group like the Louisville Interreligious Council on Soviet Jewry to undertake this activity. It seems to me that all sorts of unrelated problems are raised and crisscrossing of lines will be confusing."

Abe Bayer of National Jewish Community Relations Advisory Council (NJCRAC) opposed Task Force involvement with Soviet Christians. Bayer wanted the Task Force to limit its concerns to Soviet Jews. Jerry Goodman disagreed but urged a name change to "National Interreligious Task Force Against Religious Oppression in the Soviet Union." Rabbi Rudin opposed both and argued that "we have enlisted more Christians in the cause of Soviet Jewry when
we broaden the Task Force's appeal to include Soviet Christians as well." Abe said that as a staff member of NJCRAC he would "no longer be recommending Sr. Ann" to speak in the various communities because she spoke not just about Soviet Jewry but also about Soviet Baptists.\textsuperscript{44}

**WHY A PROBLEM?**

The concern for persecuted Christians by the Task Force (and IAD) clashed with Liaison Bureau policy which favored an exclusive focus on Soviet Jews. They urged advocates for Soviet Jewry to shun the cause of persecuted Christians, dissidents, and captive nations.

The Israelis argued that advocates for Soviet Jewry were not opposed to the regime or Communism; they were fighting for religious and cultural rights for Jewish citizens guaranteed by the Soviet Constitution. They demanded: give them their rights or let them leave for their homeland (Israel). In contrast, advocates for other religious minorities, dissidents, and captive nations wanted regime change and end to Soviet (Russian) dominance of their homelands.\textsuperscript{45}

Interestingly, not everyone advocating for Soviet Jewry followed Israeli policy. Within AJC some supported the Israeli position in the early 1970s but the IAD almost always mentioned persecuted Christians when discussing Soviet Jewry. The executive head of the AJC allowed for diversity within the organization. Even the position taken by Jerry Goodman of NCSJ who coordinated everything with the Liaison Bureau was more tolerant toward Ann than Abraham Bayer. But Bayer too later backed down.

The issue was complicated by the position of the Israelis that the support of Ann Gillen and Christian Americans was vital to the success of the American Advocacy movement for Soviet Jewry. A movement supported only by Jews was bound to fail.

The Liaison Bureau chose to work with Ann and the Task Force even if it opposed some of their alliances and concerns. They believed that her organization helped to mobilize Christian and general American support for the advocacy of Soviet Jewry. For example, the Task Force helped to recruit Christian clergy and laypersons to participate in the annual Solidarity Sunday programs for Soviet Jewry held throughout the country. The Liaison Bureau clearly supported her central role in the Second Brussel’s Conference in 1976 which brought together Soviet Jewry advocacy advocates from all over the world. One of the highlights was a public meeting between Sister Ann and former Israeli Prime Minister Golda Meir. Both women embraced. Ann apologized for Golda’s alleged ill-treatment during her private audience with Pope Paul.\textsuperscript{46} Ann added: “…you have won
respect, admiration, and affection in the USA...all over the world by your heroic leadership. Your personal concern for Soviet Jews has deep roots that were implanted when you were Israeli Ambassador to the USSR, and in a sense, it is because of that concern that we are all here in Brussels. We are here to pledge to you our commitment to this human rights, cause, and ... may I present to you a copy of this 'Call to Christian Conscience'...”

Golda responded: “I am anxious for the sake of our Jewish children to see that our often very cruel and dangerous dialogue with the non-Jewish world shall not be the only dialogue... There has never been a period of history when some non-Jews to stand by our side... I would ordinarily say “thank you,” but I cannot say “thank you” about a cause of this kind. I know you are preoccupied with this problem because you have made it yours. I praise God in these hours that we are not left alone. Just as we are found together today, so also somewhere, someplace may we meet again when we have won.”

CONCLUSION
The Helsinki Final Accords, signed in 1975 by the USA, USSR and 33 other nations were a major game changer for the various advocacy groups for Soviet Jews, Christians, dissidents and captive nations. Basket III included a guarantee of human rights for citizens in the signatory nations including exit visas for family reunification, religious and cultural rights, and contact with the outside world. It provided the legal basis for advocates to challenge the Soviet denial of basic human rights to Jews and others. On the one hand, the Accords fostered resistance by Soviet Jews, Christians, and dissidents to the denial of their basic human rights while on the other they increased the activity by their respective advocates in the US and elsewhere.


A major change in the Task Force occurred in the late 1970s when Ann became more engaged with lawyers about human rights violations in the Soviet Union. Ann helped to organize the National Interreligious Task Force on Human Rights. While concerned with Soviet Jews the Human Rights Task Force emphasized human rights violations which could involve persons of
any faith in the Soviet Union. One of their first projects was to file a writ of habeas corpus on behalf of Andre Sakharov.

In later years, when the Task Force focused more on persecuted Christians, two of its more active members Father John Pawlikoski and Andre LaCocque favored a policy of exclusive concern with Soviet Jews. They argued that the purpose of the Task Force was to liberate Soviet Jews. In theological terms for LaCocque, the Jew represented all mankind; the universal was attained by pursuit of the particular. They were overruled by Ann Gillen and the IAD.
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In the early 1970s some in AJC wanted her to relocate to NYC where major Jewish and Christian organizations had their headquarters. She may have chosen not to move to NYC to avoid being under greater AJC control.

To a lesser extent, Ann and the Task Force expressed concern for the plight of the dissidents in the Soviet Union. An exception was Andre Sakharov, whose cause Ann and the Task Force supported (more so in the 1980s).

The NITFSJ had a special project focusing on Sister Elizabeth Pretschner of Czechoslovakia.

Rabbi Jim Rudin and Jerry Goodman met Abe Bayer on this issue on July 1977 (Memo, Rabbi Jim Rudin to Rabbi Marc Tanenbaum. July 12 (Box 194) (copy July 19, 1977 (Box 212, folder 1). The National Community Relations Advisory Council (NCRAC) was established in 1944. In 1969 it became NJCRAC. In 1998 it became the Jewish Council for Public Affairs. It is an umbrella organization which coordinates the policies of 13 national organizations with 120 local Jewish community relations councils (See Lazin 2005: 15 n.23.

In a later phone call to Rabbi Rudin Abe retreated. He said that he had not represented NJCRAC policy. Bayer may well have changed his mind in the future. Ann wrote him a warm note on October 26, 1983 (Spertus 122/54) thanking him for recommending her as a speaker for the Tucson Freedom weekend.

Later, in the late 1970s, some of the advocates for Evangelical Christians argued that they were only demanding rights guaranteed in the Soviet Constitution.

Memo, Gene DuBow to Bert Gold, February 27, 1976 (Box 208, folder 4). (Sister Margaret Traxler "A personal report of the Brussels conference" (Marquette Sister Traxler papers, series 5 box 7 folders 2-4).


The major portion of the Accords recognized the redrawn post World War II borders.

The Helsinki Accords established a review process via a series of follow up meetings of the signatory nations to insure implementation. These meetings became the arenas of an international debate over human rights involving Soviet Jews and others in the Soviet Union.

In July 1978, seven Pentecostal Christians from Siberia (The Siberian 7) received asylum in the US Embassy in Moscow. This stimulated interest in their plight among co-religionists in the US. New support groups in the US and in Congress lobbied for the release of the Siberian 7 and in support of persecuted Christians in the Soviet Union. Ann's message was that American Christians concerned with their brethren in the Soviet Union could learn from the example American Jews involved in the struggle for Soviet Jews.

They cited denial of visas to Vladimir Slepak and his family and the harassment, beating and arrest of Jews who protested in Moscow (NITFSJ press release, November 3, 1976 (Box 208, folder 1).

The initiative may have come from the Israelis, NCSJ and AJC. All of them were interested in involving American lawyers in cases of Jewish refuseniks on trial in the Soviet Union.

Active with her was Ralph Ruebner, a law professor in Chicago who represented the Decalogue Society, a Jewish legal fraternal order. Another participant was Prof. Lynn Buzzard head of the Evangelical Christian Legal Society. The Catholic League for Religious and Civil Rights also joined.